High-Brightness Wavelength-Beam-Combined Diode Laser Stacks using a Volume Bragg Grating (VBG)^{*}

B. Chann, A. K. Goyal, A. Sanchez-Rubio, and T.Y. Fan MIT Lincoln Laboratory, 244 Wood Street, Lexington, MA 02420

B. L. Volodin and V. S. Ban

PD-LD, Inc., 30-B Pennington-Hopewell Road, Pennington, New Jersey 08550

Abstract: Using VBG-stabilized wavelength beam combining of 3-bar diode laser stack, we demonstrate 89.5 W CW with beam quality $M^2 \sim 26$ in the slow-axis and $M^2 \sim 21$ in the fast-axis. The beam combining efficiency is 75%.

©2006 Optical Society of America.

OCIS codes: (140.2010) Diode laser arrays; (140.3300) Laser arrays; (140.3290) Laser beam shaping; (140.3410) Laser resonators

We report a robust method of scaling power and brightness from diode arrays and stacks by wavelength beam combining (WBC) using a wavelength-chirped volume Bragg grating (VBG). It has the potential to increase the brightness of fiber-coupled diode laser system by two orders of magnitude. In the experiment using a 3-bar commercial stack of broad-area lasers, we demonstrate 89.5 W CW of beam-combined output with a beam-combining efficiency of 75%. The output beam has a beam quality $M^2 \sim 26$ in the slow-axis and $M^2 \sim 21$ in the fast-axis. This corresponds to a brightness of ~20 MW/cm²sr. To our knowledge, this is the highest brightness broad-area diode laser system.

There are two approaches to WBC of diode arrays and stacks. The first approach is called close-loop WBC¹⁻³. The second approach is called open-loop WBC. The open-loop cavity, as shown in figure 1, consists of an array of laser elements, a wavelength-chirped Volume Bragg Grating (VBG)⁴, a transform lens, and a diffraction grating. In the horizontal axis, along each bar, each emitter within the bar is controlled by the wavelength-chirped VBG to lase at a wavelength that varies linearly with position. The emission from all emitters within a given bar is spatially overlapped at the grating by the transform lens. The grating is adjusted to provide the proper dispersion such that the diffracted beams from each bar propagate collinearly. This overlaps both the near and far fields of all emitters within a bar such that the beam quality of the combined beam in the horizontal axis is approximately that of a single emitter. The beam quality in the stacking dimension remains unaffected.

We achieve near-ideal wavelength-beam combining of a COTS 3-bar diode stack of broad-area lasers using both approaches. In this report we will discuss only open-loop WBC. The 3-bar stack has 19 emitters in each bar. Each emitter is 150-µm wide and the emitter pitch is 500 µm. Each bar had a low reflectivity (<1%) antireflection-coated front facet and is collimated in the fast-axis by a micro-cylindrical lens. The open-loop WBC cavity consists of a 15-nm/cm wavelength-chirped VBG, a 150-mm cylindrical transform lens, and a gold-coated 2000-g/mm holographic grating on a Zerodur substrate. The peak reflectivity of the VBG was about 15%. The VBG has a 3-mm thickness which corresponds to about 0.1 nm FWHM reflectivity bandwidth. The first order diffraction efficiency from the diffraction grating is approximately 90%.

A typical wavelength versus position (I=35 A) for a single bar is shown in figure 2a. In this figure the wavelength is plotted along the vertical axis while near-field image of the laser bar is plotted along the horizontal axis. Figure 2b shows a high resolution optical spectrum taken at the same operating current. Figure 3 shows the output power (left axis) and beam quality M^2 (right axis) of the 3-bar stack as a function of the applied current. At 50 A, the wavelength-beam-combined output power was 89.5 W which corresponds to a 75% WBC efficiency. Higher combining efficiency can be achieved using lower VBG reflectivity (<10%) and higher diffraction grating efficiency. Near threshold (I~3.5A), the beam propagation quality in the slow-axis for a single bar is close to the diffraction-limit. The beam quality in the slow-axis increases as a function of current up to 35 A after which it remains relatively constant. At the highest applied current of 50 A, the beam combined output from a single bar has

^{*} This work was supported by the Department of Air Force under the United States Air Force Contract FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors, and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government.

CMD6.pdf

a beam quality of $M^2 \sim 23$ in slow-axis and $M^2 \sim 1.5$ in the fast-axis. With 3 bars operating, the beam quality is $M^2 \sim 26$ in slow-axis and $M^2 \sim 21$ in the fast-axis. This can be compared with the beam quality for the unmodified stack of $M^2 \sim 2000$ in the slow-axis and $M^2 \sim 21$ in the fast-axis. WBC improves the brightness by almost two orders of magnitude.

We have coupled the wavelength-combined output beam of the three bars into both 200- μ m and 100- μ m diameter, 0.22-N.A. uncoated fibers. We measured 76 W out of the 200- μ m fiber with a 85% coupling efficiency. Coupling into a 100- μ m fiber, we measured 52.7 W output power with 68 W of input power or about 77% coupling efficiency. In these experiments, the fiber ends were uncoated. With AR-coated fiber ends, the coupling efficiency would be even higher. The current state-of-the-art COTS fiber-coupled diode laser system has an output power of 35 W from 100- μ m, 0.22-N.A. fiber with about 20% wall-plug efficiency.

In summary, we demonstrate a novel and robust method of scaling power and spatial brightness from diode laser stacks using WBC with a linearly wavelength-chirped VBG. This approach will allow efficient fiber-coupling of kW-class.

The authors thank John Daneu and Peter Foti for general assistance with the experiment. This work was sponsored by the Department of Air Force under Air Force contract FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, and conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Government. The email addresses of T.Y. Fan and B. Chann are <u>fan@LL.mit.edu</u> and <u>bchann@LL.mit.edu</u>.

- 1. T. Y. Fan and A. Sanchez, Proc. SPIE 5709, 157 (2005)
- 2. V. Daneu, A. Sanchez, T. Y. Fan, H. K. Choi, G. W. Turner, and C. C. Cook, Opt. Lett. 25, 405 (2000).
- 3. B. Chann, R. K. Huang, L. J. Missaggia, C. T. Harris, Z. L. Liau, A. K. Goyal, J. P. Donnelly, T. Y. Fan, A. Sanchez-Rubio, and G. W. Turner, Opt. Lett. **30**, 2104 (2005).
- 4. B. L. Volodin, S. V. Dolgy, E. D. Melnik, E. Downs, J. Shaw, and V. S. Ban, Opt. Lett. 29, 1891 (2004).







Figure 2: Left: wavelength versus near-field image of 1 bar. Right: shows a high resolution optical spectrum.



Figure 3: Left axis: output power versus current. Left axis: beam quality versus current.