Csi1p recruits alp7p/TACC to the spindle pole bodies for bipolar spindle formation

Fan Zheng^{*, †}, Tianpeng Li^{*, †}, Dong-yan Jin^{*}, Viktoriya Syrovatkina[‡], Kathleen Scheffler[§], Phong T.Tran^{‡, §, ||}, and Chuanhai Fu^{*, †, ||}

* Department of Biochemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

[†] HKU-Shenzhen Institute of Research and Innovation, The University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

‡ Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 10104, USA

§ Institut Curie - CNRS, Paris 75005, FRANCE

|| To whom correspondence should be addressed: P.T.T. <u>tranp@mail.med.upenn.edu</u> or C.F. <u>chuanhai@hku.edu</u> (Phone: (+852) 2819 9208; Fax: (+852) 2855 1254)

Running head: Spindle formation requires alp7p and csi1p

Abstract

Accurate chromosome segregation requires timely bipolar spindle formation during mitosis. The transforming acidic coiled-coil (TACC) family proteins and the ch-TOG family proteins are key players in bipolar spindle formation. They form a complex to stabilize spindle microtubules, mainly dependent of their localization to the centrosome (the spindle pole body/SPB in yeast). The molecular mechanism underlying the targeting of the TACC-ch-TOG complex to the centrosome remains unclear. Here, we show that the fission yeast *Schizosacchromyces pombe* TACC ortholog alp7p is recruited to the SPB by csi1p. The csi1p interacting region lies within the conserved TACC domain of alp7p while the carboxyl-terminal domain of csi1p is responsible for interacting with alp7p. Compromised interaction between csi1p and alp7p impairs the localization of anaphase B lagging chromosomes. Hence, our study establishes that csi1p serves as a linking molecule tethering spindle stabilizing factors to the SPB for promoting bipolar spindle assembly.

Introduction

Timely bipolar spindle assembly facilitates proper kinetochore bi-orientation, thereby ensuring accurate chromosome segregation during mitosis (Walczak and Heald, 2008; Tanenbaum and Medema, 2010; Silkworth and Cimini, 2012). Spindle assembly takes place in prophase, with microtubules minus ends anchored at the spindle poles and microtubule plus ends interdigitating at the spindle midzone to form an antiparallel microtubule array. Spindle bipolarity is well established by metaphase as the interdigitating microtubules slide apart and the opposing forces within the spindle are balanced (Syrovatkina *et al.*, 2013). Bipolar spindle formation requires synergistic coordination of microtubule associated proteins (MAPs) and kinesin motors (Tanenbaum and Medema, 2010). In general, MAPs help organize spindle microtubules into an antiparallel microtubule array, and kinesins produce forces to elongate the array to

separate two spindle poles (Fu *et al.*, 2009; Peterman and Scholey, 2009; Syrovatkina *et al.*, 2013). Intensive studies on bipolar spindle formation have been focusing on the conserved MAP PRC1/ase1p and the kinesin-5 Eg5/cut7p. PRC1/ase1p becomes more static upon crosslinking two antiparallel microtubules (Janson *et al.*, 2007; Subramanian *et al.*, 2013), while Eg5/cut7p is rotationally flexible (Kapitein *et al.*, 2005). These structural features enable PRC1/ase1p and Eg5p/cut7 to function efficiently to maintain antiparallel spindle microtubules after the spindle bipolarity has been established but non-efficiently sort near parallel spindle microtubules into an antiparallel microtubule array during early prophase. This implies that initial spindle microtubule organization may require another molecular mechanism.

One possible mechanism may involve the transforming acidic coiled coil (TACC) family proteins, as cells lacking TACC proteins typically display abnormalities in initial bipolar spindle assembly (Peset and Vernos, 2008). Members of this family are characterized by the conserved TACC domain at their carboxyl-termini and share a similar intracellular localization pattern and conserved functions in a wide range of organisms including yeast (Sato *et al.*, 2004), *C. elegans* (Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003; Srayko *et al.*, 2003), *Drosophila* (Gergely *et al.*, 2000b), and mammals (Gergely *et al.*, 2003). During mitosis, TACC proteins mainly localize to spindle microtubules and the centrosome (the spindle pole body in yeast) (Sato *et al.*, 2004; Peset and Vernos, 2008), where they form a complex with the conserved microtubule polymerase ch-TOG proteins via their TACC domains to stabilize kinetochore microtubules (Royle, 2012) and promote spindle formation (Peset and Vernos, 2008).

Clathrin, a key protein involved in membrane tracfficking, has been reported to interact with TACC3 and subsequently form the Clathrin-ch-TOG-TACC3 complex for localization to spindle microtubules (Fu *et al.*, 2010; Lin *et al.*, 2010; Booth *et al.*, 2011; Hood *et al.*, 2013), resulting in kinetochore fiber stabilization, an important process for spindle stabilization (Booth *et al.*, 2011). Despite this, it remains unclear how TACC proteins are recruited to the centrosome/SPB, an equally important process required for spindle assembly and stabilization. Intriguingly, the conserved TACC domain is necessary for the localization of TACC proteins to the centrosome/SPB, independent of microtubules (Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003) and ch-TOG proteins (Gergely *et al.*, 2000b; Sato *et al.*, 2004), and the TACC domain does not interact with clathrin (Hood *et al.*, 2013). These findings highlight the importance of the TACC domain in interacting with a centrosomal/SPB protein that remains to be determined.

The fission yeast centrosome/spindle pole body (SPB) protein csi1p is emerging as a key protein for ensuring faithful mitotic chromosome segregation (Hou *et al.*, 2012). It is recruited to the SPB by the conserved SUN domain protein sad1p (SUN1 in human) for centromere clustering during interphase (Hou *et al.*, 2012). Csi1p has also been implicated in spindle formation (Costa *et al.*, 2013).

In this study, we show that csi1p promotes bipolar spindle assembly by recruiting the TACC ortholog alp7p to the SPB. The interaction domains in csi1p and alp7p lie at their carboxyl-termini. When the interaction between csi1p and alp7p is compromised, alp7p and its binding protein alp14p/ch-TOG are absent from the SPBs, leading to transient monopolar spindle formation and subsequent anaphase B lagging chromosomes. Thus, this work defines a new molecular mechanism regulating the SPB localization of the

alp7p/TACC-alp14/ch-TOG complex and highlights the importance of this SPB complex in bipolar spindle formation and faithful chromosome segregation.

Results

Csi1p interacts with alp7p

Csilp has been shown to be an essential protein for centromere clustering during interphase (Hou et al., 2012). Our live-cell imaging screen identified csi1p as a key component for bipolar spindle formation (see (Costa et al., 2013) and Figure 2A). To understand the role of csi1p in bipolar spindle formation, we carried out yeast-two-hybrid screen for csilp binding proteins. In this screen, an alp7p mutant lacking the last 31 residues at its carboxyl-terminus was identified as a strong interacting protein of csilp (Figure 1A), and the interaction between csi1p and alp7p was further confirmed by GST pull-down assays, using full-length recombinant proteins His-alp7p and GST-csi1p (Figure 1B). Therefore, the carboxyl-terminal 31 residues of alp7p are not required for its interaction with csilp, although these residues appear to affect the alp7p-csilp interaction specifically in budding yeast in the yeast-two-hybrid assays (Figure 5A). We then employed live-cell imaging to examine the colocalization of alp7p-3GFP and csi1p-TagRFP in wild type cells. As shown (Figure 1C), alp7p displayed spindle localization, with strong preferential SPB localization, and colocalization with csilp throughout mitosis (also see Figure S1A). In addition, we observed alp7p signals between the two SPBs on the spindle, which may represent its kinetochore localization as previously reported (Sato et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2013). These additional alp7p signals did not likely colocalize with csi1p as even overexpressed csi1p from the nmt1 promoter did not localize to kinetochores (Figure S1B). Intriguingly, $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ doubledeletion mutant displayed additive sensitivity to the microtubule-depolymerizing drug MBC (Methyl Benzimidazole-2-yl-Carbamate) in a dose dependent manner (Figure 1D). Taken together, these findings suggest that csi1p physically and genetically interacts with alp7p.

Csi1p and alp7p are required for bipolar spindle assembly

Both csi1p and alp7p are involved in regulating chromosome segregation (Figure S2A and S2B) (Sato et al., 2004; Hou et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2013). Importantly, alp7p has been shown to be required for microtubule organization (Thadani et al., 2009), mitotic spindle assembly (Sato et al., 2004; Sato and Toda, 2007), and proper attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochores (Tang et al., 2013). Therefore, to assess the biological significance of the interaction between csi1p and alp7p, we first analyzed spindle dynamics, an important process for proper chromosome segregation. Live cell imaging revealed that similar to $alp7\Delta$, $csil\Delta$ cells displayed defective spindle dynamics during early mitosis, with the spindles initially forming a transient monopolar structure (Figure 2A-D and Figure S2E-G). In contrast, the wild-type spindles initially emerged as a dense dot-like structure and quickly elongated to establish a bar-like bipolar structure of $1 \mu m$ in length within 2-3 min (Figure 2A and E). Further measurements of spindle dynamics in $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ mutant cells showed that significant longer time was required for these mutants to assemble a bipolar spindle of 1 µm in length, compared to wild type cells (i.e., 7.0 \pm 2.2 min and 7.2 \pm 3.9 min for csi1 Δ and alp7 Δ cells, respectively, vs. 3.0 ± 0.8 min for wild type cells) (Figure 2D and E).

We then analyzed centromere clustering, another key process for proper chromosome segregation. In agreement with the previous report (Hou *et al.*, 2012), 56% of the csi1 Δ cells displayed centromere declustering (Figure S1C and S1D). However, no wild type cells and only 2% of the *alp*7 Δ cells displayed centromere declustering (Figure S1C and S1D). The high degree of similarity of spindle defect, not centromere declustering, caused by the absence of csi1p or alp7p, suggests that the interaction between csi1p and alp7p is likely involved in bipolar spindle formation.

Csi1p recruits alp7p to the SPB during mitosis

Next, we analyzed the localization interdependency of alp7p and csi1p by confocal microscopy. Fluorescent intensity analysis of sum projection images showed that the majority of alp7p colocalized with csi1p at the SPBs in wild type cells in early mitosis, whereas most alp7p signals appeared between the two SPBs as several distinct dots in $csil\Delta$ cells (Figure 3A). Further fluorescent intensity analysis revealed that the alp7p dots between the two SPBs in $csil\Delta$ cells colocalized with cnp3p (a kinetochore protein; CENP-C in human) at the kinetochores (Figure 3B). To exclude the effect of spindle microtubules on alp7p localization, we then took advantage of the nda3-KM311 betatubulin thermosensitive mutant strain (Hiraoka et al., 1984), which can be arrested in prophase by temperature shift to the restrictive temperature 16 °C and has no spindle upon arrest. Similarly, we observed that at the restrictive temperature, the absence of csilp caused delocalization of alp7p from the SPBs in prophase (Figure 3C) and the delocalized alp7p colocalized with cnp3p at the kinetochores (Figure 3D). Consistently, the absence of csi1p also caused delocalization of the alp7p binding partner alp14p from the SPBs (Figure S3). Moreover, we tested conversely whether alp7p is required for the SPB localization of csi1p. The fluorescent intensity analysis showed that the SPB localization of csi1p was not altered in the absence of alp7p (Figure 3E). Therefore, we conclude that csi1p is required for the SPB localization of the alp7p-alp14p complex.

The carboxyl-terminus of csi1p is responsible for interacting with alp7p

In addition to centromere clustering, csi1p plays a role in bipolar spindle formation. It is likely that csi1p recruits alp7p to the SPBs for promoting bipolar spindle formation. To precisely assess the function of the csi1p-alp7p interaction, we sought to create a separation-of-function mutant for csi1p, whose interaction with alp7p is specifically inhibited. For this purpose, we employed yeast-two-hybrid assays to map the key csi1p residues responsible for interacting with alp7p. Multiple attempts revealed that Ile463 and Pro464 within the minimal domain (a.a. 461-480) lying at the carboxyl-terminus of csi1p were indispensable for interacting with alp7p (Figure 4A).

Csi1p is a low-abundance nuclear protein, with ~500 molecules in a cell (Marguerat *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, csi1p likely interacts with alp7p within a very short time window at prophase. These make it technically challenging to perform co-immunoprecipitation to test csi1p-alp7p interaction. Instead, we employed Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) assays, which has emerged as a key assay for examining protein-protein interaction in many model organisms including yeast (Kodama and Hu, 2012). Generally, two complement peptide fragments of GFP (VN and VC in our study) are fused with proteins to be tested, and the interaction of the two fusion proteins can then bring the two complement peptide fragments together to form a mature GFP

molecule to give fluorescent signals. Consistently, BiFC assays confirmed that csi1p interacts with alp7p at the SPB (Figure 4B) and that the substitution of Ile463 and Pro464 for two Asparagines in csi1p (designated as csi1p^{463IPNN}) can effectively inhibit its interaction with alp7p in vivo (Figure 4B). Csi1p^{463IPNN} localized to the SPB as wild type csi1p (designated as csi1p^{463IPNN}) (Figure S4A) and their expression levels were comparable (Figure 4H). Further, csi1p^{463IPNN} did not cause centromere declustering (Figure S4B and S4C). However, csi1p^{463IPNN} caused delocalization of alp7p from the SPBs (Figure 4C), thus phenocopying the effect of the absence of csi1p on alp7p localization. Consistently, the delocalized alp7p colocalized with cnp3p at the kinetochores (Figure S4E). We then examined spindle dynamics in *csi1*\Delta cells expressing csi1p^{463IPNN} oid not (Figure 4D). Further quantitative measurements also confirmed that csi1p^{463IPNN} did not (Figure 4D). Further quantitative measurements also confirmed that csi1p^{463IPNN} caused transient monopolar spindle formation (Figure 4E and Figure S4D) and significant longer time was required for csi1p^{463IPNN} mutant cells to assemble a spindle of 1 µm in length (i.e., 7.7±2.6 min and 2.4±0.01 min for csi1p^{463IPNN} cells were sensitive to MBC in a dose dependent manner (Figure 4G). Hence, csi1p^{463IPNN} phenocopies the *csi1*\Delta spindle defect, supporting the conclusion that csi1p recruits alp7p to the SPBs specifically for promoting bipolar spindle formation.

The alp7p TACC domain is responsible for interacting with csi1p

The TACC domain is necessary and sufficient for localizing TACC proteins to the centrosome/SPB (Gergely et al., 2000b; Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003; Sato et al., 2004). This prompted us to explore whether the TACC domain in alp7p is responsible for interacting with csilp. Similarly, yeast-two-hybrid assays were employed to map the minimal csi1p interacting domain in alp7p. As the last 31 residues in alp7p appeared to affect its interaction with csi1p in budding yeast (Figure 5A; full length alp7p displayed no interaction with csi1p), we chose to use a series of alp7p deletion truncation mutants lacking the 31 residues for the yeast-two-hybrid assays. This attempt revealed that the residues 307-312 within the TACC domain in alp7p were required for interacting with csi1p (Figure 5A). Further, alp7p lacking the residues 307-312 (designated as $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ ³¹²⁾) showed no BiFC signals when paired with csi1p in the BiFC assays (Figure 5B), suggesting that the alp7p residues 307-312 are also important for interacting with csi1p in vivo. We then tested the expression levels of $alp7p^{WT}$ and $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ in vivo, showing that their expression levels were comparable (Figure 5H). Next, live cell imaging showed that $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ did not cause centromere declustering (Figure S5A and S5B) but its SPB localization was impaired (Figure 5C), with most $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ residing at the kinetochores (Figure S5F). This leaded to transient monopolar spindle formation (Figure 5D-F and also see Figure S5C). Moreover, similar to $alp7\Delta$, $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ cells were sensitive to MBC in a dose dependent manner at 35 °C (Figure 5G). Interestingly, $csi1p^{463IPNN}$ alp $7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ double mutant cells showed no obvious additive effect on MBC sensitivity (Figure 5G), confirming that $csi1p^{463IPNN}$ and $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ mutants likely operate in the same pathway.

Our further yeast-two-hybrid assays showed that although the alp7p TACC domain also interacts with alp14p (Sato *et al.*, 2004; Sato and Toda, 2007), it is the residues 461-467 at the extreme carboxyl-terminus of alp7p that are important for interacting with alp14p

(Figure S5D). Therefore, the csi1p and alp14p interacting regions in the alp7p TACC domain do not overlap.

Proper interaction between csi1p and alp7p is required for faithful chromosome segregation

Timely bipolar spindle formation ensures faithful chromosome segregation (Walczak and Heald, 2008; Tanenbaum and Medema, 2010; Silkworth and Cimini, 2012). We then asked whether the transient monopolar spindle formation caused by the compromised interaction between csi1p and alp7p affects faithful chromosome segregation. To address this question, we first carried out live-cell imaging to examine kinetochore dynamics in wild-type, $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ cells. As shown (Figure 6A), both deletion mutants displayed remarkable anaphase B lagging chromosomes. To quantify this phenotype, we measured the percentage of anaphase B cells that displayed spindles of 4, 5, 6, and 7 μ m in length, respectively, and concomitantly showed lagging chromosomes. This analysis showed that a comparable large number of anaphase B $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ cells displayed lagging chromosomes, whereas no anaphase B wild type cells with a spindle length over 4 µm displayed lagging chromosomes (Figure 6B). Moreover, csi1p^{WT} restored normal chromosome segregation in $csil\Delta$ cells, while $csilp^{463IPNN}$ that cannot interact with alp7p only partially restored normal chromosome segregation in $csil\Delta$ cells (Figure 6C). Likewise, alp7p^{WT} restored normal chromosome segregation in $alp7\Delta$ cells (Figure 6D); however, alp7p^(Δ 307-312) that cannot interact with csi1p partially rescued lagging chromosomes in $alp7\Delta$ cells (Figure 6D). Further, csi1p^{463IPNN} alp7p^(Δ 307-312) double mutant cells showed a comparable degree of anaphase B chromosome lagging as single $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ mutant cells (Figure 6D), further confirming that csi1p^{463IPNN} and $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ mutants operate in the same pathway. Taken together, these findings suggest that timely bipolar spindle formation mediated by the csi1p-alp7p complex at the SPB is required for faithful chromosome segregation.

Discussion

Spindle assembly and stabilization requires the Alp7p/TACC-alp14p/ch-TOG complex that targets to kinetochore microtubules (Royle, 2012) and the spindle poles (Sato *et al.*, 2004; Peset and Vernos, 2008; Royle, 2012). While it is relatively clear that TACC and ch-TOG interact with the trimerized clathrin heavy chains (CHCs) to cross-bridge kinetochore microtubules for spindle stabilization (Fu *et al.*, 2010; Lin *et al.*, 2010; Hood *et al.*, 2013), it remains unknown how the Alp7p/TACC-alp14p/ch-TOG complex is tethered to the spindle poles, a key process for timely bipolar spindle formation (Sato *et al.*, 2004; Peset and Vernos, 2008). Here, we demonstrate that alp7p and alp14p depend on csi1p for localization to the SPBs (Figure 3), and the SPB localization of alp7p and alp14p then promotes timely bipolar spindle formation (Figure 2, 4, and 5), thus ensuring faithful chromosome segregation (Figure 6). We propose that csi1p serves as a linking molecule, recruiting the microtubule stabilizing factor the alp7p-alp14p complex to the SPB where the alp7p-alp14p complex facilitates the lateral binding of adjacent microtubules for promoting bipolar spindle formation (Figure 6E).

In addition to localizing to spindle microtubules (Royle, 2012), the evolutionarily conserved alp7p/TACC-alp14p/ch-TOG complex shares another striking similarity in concentrating at the centrosomes in higher eukaryotic cells (Peset and Vernos, 2008) and

the SPBs in yeast during mitosis (Sato et al., 2004; Usui et al., 2003). The targeting of the alp7p/TACC-alp14p/ch-TOG complex to the centrosome/SPB is a decisive step for mitotic spindle assembly in Drosophila (Gergely et al., 2000b) and C.elegans (Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003; Le Bot et al., 2003; Srayko et al., 2003) embryos, Xenopus egg extracts (O'Brien et al., 2005; Peset et al., 2005), human somatic cells (Gergely et al., 2000a; Gergely et al., 2003), and yeasts (Usui et al., 2003; Sato et al., 2004). The mitotic kinase Aurora A has been shown to be essential for targeting TACC proteins to the centrosome (Giet et al., 2002; Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003; Le Bot et al., 2003; Peset et al., 2005). However, the sole yeast aurora kinase ark1 does not localize to the SPB (Petersen et al., 2001), thus unlikely regulating the SPB localization of the yeast TACC alp7p. Moreover, alp7p contains no transmembrane domains, making it also unlikely for alp7p to localize to the SPB by inserting into the nuclear envelope. Intriguingly, the *C.elegans* TACC protein TAC-1 localizes to the centrosome independent of microtubules (Bellanger and Gonczy, 2003), and the conserved TACC domain is necessary and sufficient for localization to the centrosome in Drosophila embryos (Gergely et al., 2000b). In fission yeast, alp7p/TACC does not depend on alp14p/ch-TOG (Sato et al., 2004) or on microtubules (Figure 3C) for localizing to the SPB. All these findings raise the possibility that a conserved centrosomal/SPB protein, or its functional homologs, may be responsible for recruiting alp7p/TACC to the centrosome/SPB. Such proteins begin to emerge. For example, NDEL1, a centrosomal protein involved in dynein function, is required for targeting TACC3 to the centrosome (Mori et al., 2007), and the centrosomin Cnn is required for proper localization of D-TACC to Drosophila embryonic centrosomes (Zhang and Megraw, 2007).

Csilp has no amino acid sequence similarity to either NDEL1 or Cnn. However, the absence of csi1p causes nearly identical defects of spindle formation and chromosome segregation as the absence of alp7p (Figure2 and Figure 6A-B), highlighting that the two proteins may function in the same pathway. Indeed, csi1p determines the SPB localization of alp7p, but not vice versus (Figure 3). Csi1p is also required for the SPB localization of alp14p (Figure S3). This may be via alp7p as there is no direct interaction between csi1p and alp14p (Figure S5E). The alp7p-alp14p complex is a key target of the Ran GTPase-dependent spindle assembly machinery (Sato and Toda, 2007). Our current work further extends this model, in which the Ran machinery is shown to target the alp7p-alp14p complex to the nucleus for accumulation. Thus, our data suggest that upon entering the nucleus at mitosis onset, the alp7p-alp14p complex is tethered to the SPBs by csilp for promoting bipolar spindle formation (Figure 3). The absence of csilp, i.e. losing the SPB docking site for alp7p, leads to alp7p mislocalization with the majority of alp7p localizing to the kinetochores (Figure 3B and 3D), consistent with the recent finding that alp7p can also dock at the kinetochores through interacting with the internal loop of the kinetochore protein Ndc80 (Tang et al., 2013). Importantly, in wild type cells, alp7p does not display strong localization at the kinetochore in prophase and instead mainly localizes to the SPBs (Figure 3B and 3C). Hence, through interacting with csi1p, alp7p is confined to the SPB region and thus is biased toward promoting bipolar spindle formation during early mitosis.

How does then the SPB localization of the alp7p-alp14p complex promote bipolar spindle formation? Csi1p is recruited to the SPBs by the SUN domain protein sad1p through a physical interaction between their amino-termini (Hou *et al.*, 2012) (Figure 6E). Our

domain mapping data further show that the extreme carboxyl-terminus of csilp (Figure 4A) interacts with a small region within the TACC domain of alp7p (Figure 5A) to recruit alp7p to the SPBs (Figure 6E). This interaction does not likely affect the interaction between alp7p and alp14p, given that the alp14p interacting domain lies at the end of the alp7p carboxy-terminus (Figure S5D), not overlapping with the csi1p interacting domain. These unique arrangements of domain structure enable alp7p not only to be tethered to the SPBs but also simultaneously to form a complex with the microtubule stabilizing factor/microtubule polymerase alp14p (Al-Bassam et al., 2012). Since alp7p and alp14p interact with each other through their extreme carboxyl-termini (Sato et al., 2004) (see also Figure S5D), the two microtubule binding domains, each from alp7p and alp14p as previously defined (Thadani *et al.*, 2009; Al-Bassam *et al.*, 2012), respectively, flank the csi1p binding site in the alp7p-alp14 heterodimer, a configuration favorable for bundling microtubules. The absence of the alp7p-alp14 complex at the SPB results in monopolar spindles with protruding microtubules (Figure 2, Figure4D, and Figure 5C), suggesting that the alp7p-alp14p complex at the SPBs can efficiently cross-bridge adjacent microtubules that just emerge from the two SPBs during prophase and thus allows for formation of the bar-like spindle in yeast. Hence, csi1p recruits to the SPBs the microtubule bundling and stabilizing factor the alp7p-alp14p complex to promote timely bipolar spindle formation.

Thus far csi1p is shown to play roles in centromere clustering (Hou *et al.*, 2012) and bipolar spindle formation (Figure 2 and Figure 6E). Therefore, the lagging chromosomes caused by the absence of csi1p could be due to either centromere declustering or transient monopolar spindle formation. Given that the mutant csi1p^{463IPNN} causes transient monopolar spindle formation (Figure 4D) but not centromere declustering (Figure S4B and S4C), it is an ideal separation-of-function mutant for assessing the individual contribution of centromere clustering and bipolar spindle formation to faithful chromosome segregation. Csi1p^{463IPNN} still causes anaphase B lagging chromosomes, but to a lesser degree than *csi1*Δ (Figure 6C), suggesting that not only bipolar spindle formation. Consistently, both *alp7*Δ and alp7p^(Δ300-334) mutants, which display normal centromere clustering (Figure 5D), show massive anaphase B lagging chromosomes (Figure 6A and 6D), reinforcing the conclusion that proper bipolar spindle formation is required for faithful chromosome segregation. Thus, csi1p ensures faithful chromosome segregation not only through clustering centromeres at the SPBs (Hou *et al.*, 2012) but also through promoting timely bipolar spindle formation.

Blast analysis shows no homologs of csi1p in other species, implying that csi1p may not be conserved through evolution or has evolved to functional homologs with a short conserved domain as alp7p/TACC (Peset and Vernos, 2008). Despite this, all csi1p binding proteins reported thus far, including sad1p, spc7p (Hou *et al.*, 2012), and alp7p (Figure 1), are well conserved through evolution. In addition, the mechanism targeting alp7p/TACC to the centrosome/SPB is conserved. Therefore, to identify csi1p homologs in other species, it will be of great interest to explore the centrosomal proteins that are involved in bipolar spindle formation and have the ability to interact with the conserved TACC domain.

Materials and methods Plasmids and yeast strains

Yeast genetics was carried out as previously described (Forsburg and Rhind, 2006), and yeast strains were created either by random spore digestion or tetra-dissection. Yeast culture media were purchased from Formedium (<u>www.formedium.com</u>). Mutagenesis was performed with QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (<u>www.genomics.agilent.com</u>). MBC sensitivity assays were carried out on YE5S plates supplied with the indicated concentration of the microtubule-depolymerizing drug methyl benzimidazole carbamate (MBC) (<u>www.Sigma-Aldrich.com</u>). Mini-chromosome loss assays were carried out as described in (Niwa *et al.*, 1989). All yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1 and S2, respectively.

Yeast two-hybrid

Yeast two-hybrid assays were performed using the Matchmaker Gold yeast two-hybrid system, along with Yeastmaker Yeast Transformation System 2

(<u>http://www.clontech.com</u>). Ten-fold serial dilutions of Y2HGold cells containing bait and prey plasmids were cultured on double dropout medium SD/–Leu/–Trp plates and quadruple dropout medium SD/-Leu/-Trp/-Ade/-Ura plates containing 40 μ g/ml X-a-Gal and 200 ng/ml Aureobasidin A at 30°C for 4 days.

Biochemistry

Recombinant proteins were purified from E.coli using either glutathione sepharose 4B resins (for GST-fused proteins) (<u>www.GEheathcare.com</u>) or Nickel resins (for His-tagged proteins) (<u>www.Qiagen.com</u>). GST pull-down assays were then carried out by incubating GST fused proteins bound to the glutathione resins with His-tagged proteins in TBS plus 0.1% Triton X-100 at 4°C for 4 hours, followed by 5X intensive washing with the TBS+0.1% Triton X-100 buffer and 1X TBS buffer. The resulting pull-down products were analyzed by silver staining and western-blotting with anti-His antibody (<u>www.GEheathcare.com</u>). For protein expression level analysis, yeast protein extract was prepared as previously described (Matsuo *et al.*, 2006), followed by SDS-PAGE analysis and by western blotting with anti-GFP (<u>www.rockland-inc.com</u>) and anti-tubulin antibodies.

Microscopy and data analysis

Imaging was carried out as previously described (Tran *et al.*, 2004). Briefly, a Perkin Elmer spinning-disk confocal microscope equipped with a Zeiss PlanApo 100X/1.4 NA objective and a Photometrics EMCCD camera Evolve 512 was employed to carry out live-cell imaging at 26 °C in a temperature controllable incubator. For maximum projection analysis, Z-stack images consisting of 11 planes (step size 0.5 μ m) were acquired every 30 sec (for spindle dynamics analysis) or 1 min (for chromosome dynamics analysis); for sum projection analysis, Z-stack images consisting of 21 planes (step size 0.25 μ m) were acquired. Detailed imaging conditions are also described in the supplement. Spindle lengths were measured with Metamorph

(<u>www.moleculardevices.com</u>) and the MTrackJ plugin in ImageJ (<u>www.imagej.gov</u>) as previously described (Fu *et al.*, 2009). Fluorescent intensity measurements were performed using the Linescan function in Metamorph and values were then normalized to the maximum fluorescent intensity in each comparison group. Student's t-tests were determined using Excel. Box plots and graphs were generated with Kaleidagraph 4.5 (<u>www.synergy.com</u>).

Acknowledgements

We thank Takashi Toda (CRUK), Snezhana Oliferenko (King's College London), Dannel McCollum (UMass), Yoshinori Watanabe (Tokyo), Mitsuhiro Yanagida (Tokyo), and Fred Chang (Columbia) for providing yeast strains. We also thank Prof. George Tsao and Dr. Jing Guo in the Faculty Core Facility at the University of Hong Kong for technical support. This work is supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (31271439 and 31301109), Hong Kong Research Grants Council, and HKU seed funding programme to C.F., S.K.Yee Medical Research Fund 2011, and grants from NIH and ANR to P.T.T.

Author contributions

F.Z., T.L., P.T.T., and C.F. designed and carried out experiments, and analyzed data. F.Z., P.T.T. and C.F. wrote the paper. All authors made comments.

References

Al-Bassam, J., Kim, H., Flor-Parra, I., Lal, N., Velji, H., and Chang, F. (2012). Fission yeast Alp14 is a dose-dependent plus end-tracking microtubule polymerase. Molecular biology of the cell *23*, 2878-2890.

Bellanger, J.M., and Gonczy, P. (2003). TAC-1 and ZYG-9 form a complex that promotes microtubule assembly in C. elegans embryos. Current biology : CB *13*, 1488-1498. Booth, D.G., Hood, F.E., Prior, I.A., and Royle, S.J. (2011). A TACC3/ch-TOG/clathrin complex stabilises kinetochore fibres by inter-microtubule bridging. The EMBO journal *30*, 906-919.

Costa, J., Fu, C., Syrovatkina, V., and Tran, P.T. (2013). Imaging individual spindle microtubule dynamics in fission yeast. Methods in cell biology *115*, 385-394.

Forsburg, S.L., and Rhind, N. (2006). Basic methods for fission yeast. Yeast *23*, 173-183. Fu, C., Ward, J.J., Loiodice, I., Velve-Casquillas, G., Nedelec, F.J., and Tran, P.T. (2009). Phospho-regulated interaction between kinesin-6 Klp9p and microtubule bundler Ase1p promotes spindle elongation. Developmental cell *17*, 257-267.

Fu, W., Tao, W., Zheng, P., Fu, J., Bian, M., Jiang, Q., Clarke, P.R., and Zhang, C. (2010). Clathrin recruits phosphorylated TACC3 to spindle poles for bipolar spindle assembly and chromosome alignment. Journal of cell science *123*, 3645-3651.

Gergely, F., Draviam, V.M., and Raff, J.W. (2003). The ch-TOG/XMAP215 protein is essential for spindle pole organization in human somatic cells. Genes & development *17*, 336-341.

Gergely, F., Karlsson, C., Still, I., Cowell, J., Kilmartin, J., and Raff, J.W. (2000a). The TACC domain identifies a family of centrosomal proteins that can interact with microtubules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *97*, 14352-14357.

Gergely, F., Kidd, D., Jeffers, K., Wakefield, J.G., and Raff, J.W. (2000b). D-TACC: a novel centrosomal protein required for normal spindle function in the early Drosophila embryo. The EMBO journal *19*, 241-252.

Giet, R., McLean, D., Descamps, S., Lee, M.J., Raff, J.W., Prigent, C., and Glover, D.M. (2002). Drosophila Aurora A kinase is required to localize D-TACC to centrosomes and to regulate astral microtubules. The Journal of cell biology *156*, 437-451.

Hiraoka, Y., Toda, T., and Yanagida, M. (1984). The NDA3 gene of fission yeast encodes beta-tubulin: a cold-sensitive nda3 mutation reversibly blocks spindle formation and chromosome movement in mitosis. Cell *39*, 349-358.

Hood, F.E., Williams, S.J., Burgess, S.G., Richards, M.W., Roth, D., Straube, A., Pfuhl, M., Bayliss, R., and Royle, S.J. (2013). Coordination of adjacent domains mediates TACC3-ch-TOG-clathrin assembly and mitotic spindle binding. The Journal of cell biology *202*, 463-478.

Hou, H., Zhou, Z., Wang, Y., Wang, J., Kallgren, S.P., Kurchuk, T., Miller, E.A., Chang, F., and Jia, S. (2012). Csi1 links centromeres to the nuclear envelope for centromere clustering. The Journal of cell biology *199*, 735-744.

Janson, M.E., Loughlin, R., Loiodice, I., Fu, C., Brunner, D., Nedelec, F.J., and Tran, P.T. (2007). Crosslinkers and motors organize dynamic microtubules to form stable bipolar arrays in fission yeast. Cell *128*, 357-368.

Kapitein, L.C., Peterman, E.J., Kwok, B.H., Kim, J.H., Kapoor, T.M., and Schmidt, C.F. (2005). The bipolar mitotic kinesin Eg5 moves on both microtubules that it crosslinks. Nature *435*, 114-118.

Kodama, Y., and Hu, C.D. (2012). Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC): a 5year update and future perspectives. BioTechniques *53*, 285-298.

Le Bot, N., Tsai, M.C., Andrews, R.K., and Ahringer, J. (2003). TAC-1, a regulator of microtubule length in the C. elegans embryo. Current biology : CB *13*, 1499-1505. Lin, C.H., Hu, C.K., and Shih, H.M. (2010). Clathrin heavy chain mediates TACC3 targeting to mitotic spindles to ensure spindle stability. The Journal of cell biology *189*, 1097-1105.

Marguerat, S., Schmidt, A., Codlin, S., Chen, W., Aebersold, R., and Bahler, J. (2012). Quantitative analysis of fission yeast transcriptomes and proteomes in proliferating and quiescent cells. Cell *151*, 671-683.

Matsuo, Y., Asakawa, K., Toda, T., and Katayama, S. (2006). A rapid method for protein extraction from fission yeast. Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry *70*, 1992-1994.

Mori, D., Yano, Y., Toyo-oka, K., Yoshida, N., Yamada, M., Muramatsu, M., Zhang, D., Saya, H., Toyoshima, Y.Y., Kinoshita, K., Wynshaw-Boris, A., and Hirotsune, S. (2007). NDEL1 phosphorylation by Aurora-A kinase is essential for centrosomal maturation, separation, and TACC3 recruitment. Molecular and cellular biology *27*, 352-367. Niwa, O., Matsumoto, T., Chikashige, Y., and Yanagida, M. (1989). Characterization of Schizosaccharomyces pombe minichromosome deletion derivatives and a functional

allocation of their centromere. The EMBO journal *8*, 3045-3052. O'Brien, L.L., Albee, A.J., Liu, L., Tao, W., Dobrzyn, P., Lizarraga, S.B., and Wiese, C.

(2005). The Xenopus TACC homologue, maskin, functions in mitotic spindle assembly. Molecular biology of the cell *16*, 2836-2847.

Peset, I., Seiler, J., Sardon, T., Bejarano, L.A., Rybina, S., and Vernos, I. (2005). Function and regulation of Maskin, a TACC family protein, in microtubule growth during mitosis. The Journal of cell biology *170*, 1057-1066.

Peset, I., and Vernos, I. (2008). The TACC proteins: TACC-ling microtubule dynamics and centrosome function. Trends in cell biology *18*, 379-388.

Peterman, E.J., and Scholey, J.M. (2009). Mitotic microtubule crosslinkers: insights from mechanistic studies. Current biology : CB *19*, R1089-1094.

Petersen, J., Paris, J., Willer, M., Philippe, M., and Hagan, I.M. (2001). The S. pombe aurora-related kinase Ark1 associates with mitotic structures in a stage dependent manner and is required for chromosome segregation. Journal of cell science *114*, 4371-4384.

Royle, S.J. (2012). The role of clathrin in mitotic spindle organisation. Journal of cell science *125*, 19-28.

Sato, M., and Toda, T. (2007). Alp7/TACC is a crucial target in Ran-GTPase-dependent spindle formation in fission yeast. Nature *447*, 334-337.

Sato, M., Vardy, L., Angel Garcia, M., Koonrugsa, N., and Toda, T. (2004).

Interdependency of fission yeast Alp14/TOG and coiled coil protein Alp7 in microtubule localization and bipolar spindle formation. Molecular biology of the cell *15*, 1609-1622. Silkworth, W.T., and Cimini, D. (2012). Transient defects of mitotic spindle geometry and chromosome segregation errors. Cell division *7*, 19.

Srayko, M., Quintin, S., Schwager, A., and Hyman, A.A. (2003). Caenorhabditis elegans TAC-1 and ZYG-9 form a complex that is essential for long astral and spindle microtubules. Current biology : CB *13*, 1506-1511.

Subramanian, R., Ti, S.C., Tan, L., Darst, S.A., and Kapoor, T.M. (2013). Marking and measuring single microtubules by PRC1 and kinesin-4. Cell *154*, 377-390.

Syrovatkina, V., Fu, C., and Tran, P.T. (2013). Antagonistic spindle motors and MAPs regulate metaphase spindle length and chromosome segregation. Current biology : CB 23, 2423-2429.

Tanenbaum, M.E., and Medema, R.H. (2010). Mechanisms of centrosome separation and bipolar spindle assembly. Developmental cell *19*, 797-806.

Tang, N.H., Takada, H., Hsu, K.S., and Toda, T. (2013). The internal loop of fission yeast Ndc80 binds Alp7/TACC-Alp14/TOG and ensures proper chromosome attachment. Molecular biology of the cell *24*, 1122-1133.

Thadani, R., Ling, Y.C., and Oliferenko, S. (2009). The fission yeast TACC protein Mia1p stabilizes microtubule arrays by length-independent crosslinking. Current biology : CB *19*, 1861-1868.

Tran, P.T., Paoletti, A., and Chang, F. (2004). Imaging green fluorescent protein fusions in living fission yeast cells. Methods *33*, 220-225.

Usui, T., Maekawa, H., Pereira, G., and Schiebel, E. (2003). The XMAP215 homologue Stu2 at yeast spindle pole bodies regulates microtubule dynamics and anchorage. The EMBO journal *22*, 4779-4793.

Walczak, C.E., and Heald, R. (2008). Mechanisms of mitotic spindle assembly and function. International review of cytology *265*, 111-158.

Zhang, J., and Megraw, T.L. (2007). Proper recruitment of gamma-tubulin and D-TACC/Msps to embryonic Drosophila centrosomes requires Centrosomin Motif 1. Molecular biology of the cell *18*, 4037-4049.

Figure 1



Figure 1. Csi1p interacts with alp7p.

(A) Yeast two-hybrid assays testing the interaction between csi1p and alp7p. Y2HGold budding yeasts co-transformed with BD-csi1 and AD-alp7⁽¹⁻⁴⁴⁴⁾ or empty AD plasmids were subjected to 10-fold serial dilutions and spotted on SD/–Leu/–Trp (DDO) and SD /– Leu/–Trp/–Ade/–His (QDO) plus X-alpha-gal and Aureobasidin A (AbA) plates, and incubated at 30 °C for 4 days.

(B) GST pull-down assays. Full-length recombinant proteins GST-csi1p and His-alp7p were produced in *E.coli*; the precipitation products were analyzed by western blotting with anti-His antibody. Note that His-alp7p co-precipitated with GST-csi1p, not the control GST-mmb1p.

(C) Maximum projection live-cell images of a cell expressing csi1p-TagRFP and alp7p-3GFP from their own promoters. Alp7p colocalized with csi17p at the SPBs throughout mitosis and appeared as distinct dots between the two SPBs during metaphase. Scale bar, $5 \mu m$.

(D) MBC sensitivity assays. 10-fold Serial dilutions of wild type (WT), $alp7\Delta$ (alp7p null), $csi1\Delta$ (csi1p null), and $alp7\Delta csi1\Delta$ (alp7p and csi1p null) cells were grown at 30 °C

for 4 days on YE5S plates containing DMSO or the indicated concentrations of methyl benzimidazol-2-yl-carbamate (MBC). Note that cells lacking both alp7+ and csi1+ displayed an additive defect of cell growth.

Figure 2



Figure 2. Csi1p is required for bipolar spindle assembly.

(A) Maximum projection live-cell images of wild-type, $csil\Delta$, and $alp7\Delta$ cells expressing sid4p-GFP (SPB marker) and mCherry-atb2p (alpha-tubulin). Yellow triangles mark bipolar spindles of ~ 1 µm in length. Highlighted regions in the DIC pictures were displayed. Scale bar, 2 µm.

(B-D) Representative plots of spindle length against time for wild-type and $csil\Delta$ cells (B), wild-type and $alp7\Delta$ cells (C), and $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ cells (D).

(E) Box plots of time for assembly of bipolar spindles measuring 0.5 and 1 μ m in length in wild-type, *csi1* Δ , and *alp7* Δ cells. P values were calculated by Student's t-test. Cell numbers analyzed are indicated.

Figure 3





(A) Sum projection images of wild-type and $csil\Delta$ cells expressing alp7p-3GFP and the SPB marker sid4p-mTomato (SPB marker) from their own promoters. Fluorescent intensity measurements were carried out with Metamorph to analyze signal profiles of

alp7p and sid4p along the spindle. Alp7p colocalized with sid4p at the SPBs in the wildtype cell but not in the $csil\Delta$ cell; in the $csil\Delta$ cell, alp7p appeared as distinct dots between the two SPBs. Scale bars, 2 µm.

(B) Sum projection images of wild-type and $csil\Delta$ cells expressing alp7p-3GFP and the kinetochore marker cnp3p-mTomato (kinetochore marker) from their own promoters. Fluorescent intensity measurements were carried out to analyze signal profiles of alp7p and cnp3p along the spindle. Alp7p colocalized with cnp3p at the kinetochores in the $csil\Delta$ cell, but not in the wild type cell. Scale bars, 2 µm.

(C) Sum projection images of *nda3-KM311* wild-type and *nda3-KM311 csi1* Δ cells expressing alp7p-3GFP and sid4-mTomato. Before imaging, *nda3-KM311* cells were cultured at their restrictive temperature 16 °C for 6 hours, a condition that can efficiently disassemble the spindles to arrest the cells at prophase/prometaphase. Magnified views on the right highlight the delocalization of alp7p from the SPBs in the absence of csi1p. Scale bars, 2 µm.

(D) Sum projection images of *nda3-KM311* wild-type and *nda3-KM311 csi1* Δ cells expressing alp7p-3GFP and cnp3p-mTomato. Before imaging, *nda3-KM311* cells were cultured at their restrictive temperature 16 °C for 6 hours. Magnified views on the right highlight the colocalization of alp7p and cnp3p in the absence of csi1p. Scale bars, 2 µm. (E) Sum projection images of wild-type and *alp7* Δ cells expressing csi1p-GFP and sid43p-mTomato from their own promoters. Fluorescent intensity measurements were carried out to analyze signal profiles of csi1p and sid4p along the spindle. Csi1p colocalized with sid4p at the SPBs in both wild type and *alp7* Δ cells. Scale bars, 2 µm.





(B) Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays (BiFC). Maximum projection images of cells expressing alp7p^{WT}-VN and csi1p^{WT}-VC or csi1p^{463IPNN}-VC from the

nmt1 promoter. Cells were cultured in EMM medium without Thiamine for 14 hours before imaging. Note that only the cell expressing wild type csi1p gave BiFC signals. Scale bars, $5 \mu m$.

(C) Maximum projection images of $csil\Delta$ cells expressing alp7p-3GFP, sid4p-mTomato, and either wild-type csilp (indicated as csil^{WT}) or mutant csilp^{463IPNN} (indicated as csil^{463IPNN}) from a csilp promoter at the leu1-32 locus. Fluorescent intensity measurements were carried out to analyze alp7p signal profiles along the spindles. Alp7p no longer concentrated at the SPBs in the csilp^{463IPNN} cell. Scale bars, 2 µm.

(D) Maximum projection live-cell images of $csi1^{WT}$ and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells expressing sid4p-GFP and mCherry-atb2p. Yellow triangles mark bipolar spindles of ~1 µm in length. Note that the $csi1p^{463IPNN}$ cell displayed transient monopolar spindle formation. Scale bars, 5 µm.

(E) Representative plots of spindle length against time for $csi1^{WT}$ and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells. (F) Box plots of time for assembly of bipolar spindles measuring 0.5 and 1 μ m in length in $csi1^{WT}$ and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells. P values were calculated by Student's t-test. Cell numbers analyzed are indicated.

(G) MBC sensitivity assays for $csi1^{WT}$ and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells. The cells were grown at 30 °C for 4 days. Similar to $csi1\Delta$, $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells were sensitive to MBC.

(H) Western blotting analysis of cells expressing $csi1^{WT}$ -GFP and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ -GFP.



Figure 5. The alp7p TACC domain is responsible for interacting with csi1p.

(A) Yeast two-hybrid assays for mapping the minimal csi1p interaction domain in csi1p. A series of alp7p deletion truncation mutants, as indicated in the schematic diagram, were used to test their interaction with csi1p, revealing that a domain 307-312 within the alp7p TACC domain is necessary for interacting with csi1p.

(B) Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays. Maximum projection images of cells expressing $alp7p^{WT}$ -VN or $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ -VN and $csi1p^{WT}$ -VC from the nmt1 promoter. Cells were cultured in EMM medium without Thiamine for 14 hours before imaging. Note that only the cell expressing wild type alp7p gave BiFC signals. Scale bars, 5 μ m.

(C) Maximum projection images of $alp7\Delta$ cells expressing sid4p-mTomato and either wild-type alp7p (indicated as alp7p^{WT}) or mutant alp7p^($\Delta 307-312$) (indicated as alp7p^($\Delta 307-312$))

³¹²⁾) from a alp7p promoter at the leu1-32 locus. Fluorescent intensity measurements were carried out to analyze alp7p signal profiles along the spindles. $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ no longer concentrated at the SPBs. Scale bars, 2 µm.

(D) Maximum projection live-cell images of $alp7p^{WT}$ and $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ cells expressing sid4p-GFP and mCherry-atb2p. Yellow triangles mark bipolar spindles of ~1 µm in length. Bipolar spindle assembly in the $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ was impaired. Scale bars, 5 µm. (E) Representative plots of spindle length against time for $alp7p^{WT}$ and $alp7p^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ cells.

(F) Box plots of time for assembly of bipolar spindles measuring 0.5 and 1 μ m in length in alp7p^{WT} and alp7p^(Δ 307-312) cells. P values were calculated by Student's t-test. Cell numbers analyzed are indicated.

(G) MBC sensitivity assays for $alp7^{WT}$, $alp7^{(\Delta 307-312)}$, and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ and $alp7^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ double mutant cells. The cells were grown at 35 °C for 4 days. Similar to $alp7\Delta$, $alp7^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ cells were sensitive to MBC, and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ and $alp7^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ displayed no obvious additive effect.

(H) Western blotting analysis of cells expressing $alp7^{WT}$ -GFP and $alp7^{(\Delta 307-312)}$ -GFP.

Figure 6



Figure 6. The coordination between csi1p and alp7p is required for accurate chromosome segregation.

(A) Maximum projection live-cell images of wild-type, $csil\Delta$, and $alp7\Delta$ cells expressing cnp1p-GFP and sid4p-mTomato from their own promoters. Anaphase B lagging chromosomes were detected in $csil\Delta$ and $alp7\Delta$ cells (arrows). Scale bars, 2 µm. (B-D) Graphs of the percentage of wild-type, $csil\Delta$, and $alp7\Delta$ cells (B), wild-type, $csil\Delta$, $csi1^{WT}$, and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ cells (C), and wild-type, $alp7\Delta$, $alp7p^{WT}$, $alp7p^{(\Delta307-312)}$, and $csi1^{463IPNN}$ and $alp7^{(\Delta307-312)}$ double mutant cells (D) displaying anaphase B lagging chromosomes. Quantification was categorized according to the spindle length (4, 5, 6, and 7 µm, respectively). Stars indicate no anaphase B lagging chromosomes. Note that $csi1^{463IPNN}$ and $alp7^{(\Delta307-312)}$ has no additive effect on anaphase B lagging chromosomes. Cell numbers analyzed are indicated.

(E) A model for bipolar spindle assembly. Csi1p serves as a linking molecule between sad1p and alp7p to recruit the alp7p-alp14p complex to the SPBs for promoting bipolar spindle formation. The N-termini of sad1p and csi1p (Hou *et al.*, 2012) and the C-termini of alp7p and alp14p (Sato *et al.*, 2004) bind to each other, respectively. The microtubule binding domains lie at the N-terminus (a.a. 1-300) of alp7p, outside of the TACC domain (Thadani *et al.*, 2009), and the C-terminus of alp14p, adjacent to the dual TOG domains (indicated as T) (Al-Bassam *et al.*, 2012), respectively.Csi1p recruits alp7p to the SPBs through an interaction between csi1p C-terminus (a.a. 461-480) and a region (a.a. 307-312) in the TACC domain of alp7p (indicated as the protruding circle shape).